



February 14, 2007

James W. Reede, Jr., Ed.D.
Energy Facility Siting Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 - 9th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

| | |
|-----------------|-------------|
| DOCKET | |
| 06-AFC-5 | |
| DATE | FEB 14 2007 |
| RECD. | FEB 16 2007 |

RE: Revised Panoche Energy Center Power Plant Project (06-AFC-5) AFC Figure 5.5-5, Daily and Annual Water Flows

Dear Dr. Reede:

Please find the enclosed 75 hard copies of the revised Panoche Energy Center Application for Certification Figure 5.5-5, Daily and Annual Water Flows. This table is located on page 5.5-8 of the AFC. Please advise your staff to replace the original page 5.5-7 & 5.5-8 with a copy of the enclosed revised page (it is 3-hole punched and double sided).

Please note that the electronic copy (pdf) of the replacement page (page 5.5-8) was e-mailed to you.

If you have any questions or concerns please do not hesitate to call me at 714-648-2759.

Sincerely,

Margaret M. Fitzgerald
Program Manager

URS Corporation
2020 East First Street, Suite 400
Santa Ana, California 92705
Tel: 714.635.6886
Fax: 714.433.7701

PROOF OF SERVICE (REVISED 12/19/06) FILED WITH
ORIGINAL MAILED FROM SACRAMENTO ON 2/16/07

outflow standards under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and establishment of more stringent water quality standards by the State Water Resources Control Board. In other actions the CVP was required to provide approximately 400,000 acre-feet per year to wildlife refuges, and up to an additional 800,000 acre-feet per year for restoration of fisheries. One of the most significant resulting operational changes was a reduction in delta export pumping for a minimum of 30 days in the spring for protection of out-migrant San Joaquin River salmon smolts and delta smelt.

Year-to-year surface water allocations and ground water pumpage varied significantly between 1976 and 2006. During this period, groundwater pumpage ranged from a low of 15,000 acre-feet to a maximum of 600,000 acre-feet in 1991 and 1992. Ground water levels show maximum annual variations of up to -97 ft/yr (declining) to positive (increasing) levels of up to 89 ft/yr. Known surface water deliveries and groundwater pumping in the Westlands Water District between 1976 and 2006 are summarized in Appendix R.

5.5.1.9 Effects of Future Use on Groundwater Basin by Others

Since its inception, the Westlands Water District has been faced with shallow groundwater drainage problems over an area of up to 200,000 acres that the federal Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) was obligated to remedy. Following passage of the CVP Improvement Act, BOR initiated a land retirement program in which drainage-impacted lands were purchased and taken out of irrigated production. As of 2006, a total of nearly 100,000 acres has been retired. The BOR recently released a San Luis Drainage Features Re-evaluation Report and EIR/EIS in which the recommended alternative is additional land retirement in lieu of providing drainage service. Ultimately the total acreage retired in the Westlands Water District may reach 200,000 acres or more, reducing total annual District demand by up to 500,000 acre-feet.

As a part of the land retirement program, CVP contract water supplies associated with the retired land will remain with Westlands Water District. This will increase the water supplies available to remaining lands and reduce the year-to-year variability in surface water supplies and groundwater pumpage. In the long term this can be expected to stabilize or increase groundwater levels throughout the basin.

5.5.2 Project Water and Wastewater Needs

The water balance diagrams (Figure 3.4-8 and 3.4-9) show the potable and process water flow streams for the maximum use day and the average day. Table 5.5-5 shows the maximum daily, average daily, and average annual water supply and disposal flows. Water needs at the PEC are limited primarily by the use of simple-cycle combustion generation technology and rather than more water-intensive steam generation technology.

**TABLE 5.5-5
DAILY AND ANNUAL WATER FLOWS**

| | Maximum Daily (1000's gal/day) | Average Daily (1000's gal/day) | Average Annual (Acre-ft/year) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Production Well Supply | | | |
| Cooling Tower Makeup | 1,647 | 1,238 | 793 |
| Demineralizer System | 534 | 511 | 328 |
| Evap Cooler Makeup | 62 | 14 | 9 |
| Plant Service Water | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| Total Process Water | 2,250 | 1,770 | 1,135 |
| Wastewater Injection | | | |
| Cooling Tower Blowdown | 514 | 388 | 248 |
| RO System Rejects | 133 | 128 | 82 |
| Evap Cooler Blowdown | 31 | 7 | 4 |
| Plant Drains | 14 | 14 | 9 |
| Intercooler Condensation | 48 | 3 | 2 |
| Total | 740 | 540 | 345 |
| Water Well (Safety use only) | 0.375 | 0.250 | 0.280 |
| Septic System (Sanitary drains only) | 0.375 | 0.250 | 0.280 |

Notes:

The maximum daily use is based on 24 hours of full load operation during the design hottest day (114°F day/80°F night).

The average daily use is 24 hours of the average of the full load use at the average monthly temperatures for every month.

The average annual use is based on 5,000 hours/year at the average daily rate, corresponding to the maximum plant capacity factor of 57 percent.

5.5.2.1 Alternative Water Supplies

Following is a summary of the alternative water supplies that are discussed in greater detail in the Alternatives presented in Section 4 of this document:

- Surface Water – Water present in lakes, streams and rivers.
- State Water Project – California Aqueduct located approximately 2 miles east of the project site.
- Federal CVP Water – Though structurally the same facility as the California Aqueduct, the CVP share of the joint use canal facilities is named the San Luis Canal.
- Reclaimed Water – Wastewater treatment plant effluent that has received tertiary treatment.
- Agricultural Wastewater – Drainage water from irrigation practices.

**BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE PANOCHE ENERGY
CENTER**

**Docket No. 06-AFC-5
PROOF OF SERVICE
(Revised 12/19/06)**

INSTRUCTIONS: All parties shall 1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies OR 2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the web address below, AND 3) all parties shall also send a printed OR electronic copy of the documents that shall include a proof of service declaration to each of the individuals on the proof of service:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
Attn: Docket No. 06-AFC-5
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512
docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

Gary R. Chandler
Panoche Energy Center, LLC
P.O. Box 95592
South Jordan, UT 84095-0592

APPLICANT CONSULTANTS

Maggie Fitzgerald, Program Manager
URS
2020 East First Street, Suite 400
Santa Ana, CA 92705

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Allan Thompson
21 "C" Orinda Way, No. 314
Orinda, CA 94563
allanori@comcast.net

INTERESTED AGENCIES

Larry Tobias
Ca. Independent System Operator
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
LTobias@caiso.com

Electricity Oversight Board
770 L Street, Suite 1250
Sacramento, CA 95814
esaltmarsh@eob.ca.gov

INTERVENORS

CURE
Gloria D. Smith
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com

CURE
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

JEFFREY D. BYRON
Presiding Member
jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

JAMES D. BOYD
Associate Member
jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer, Jr.
Hearing Officer
pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

James Reede
Project Manager
jreede@energy.state.ca.us

Dick Ratliff
Staff Counsel
dratliff@energy.state.ca.us

Margret J. Kim
Public Adviser
pao@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Angela Hockaday, declare that on February 16, 2007, I deposited copies of the attached Revised AFC Figure 5.5-5, Daily and Annual Water Flows for the Panoche Energy Center Project (06-AFC-5), in the United States mail at Sacramento, California with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Original signed in Dockets
[signature]